# **Tutorial: Feature Selection** Cheat Sheet and Practice Problems

ES335 - Machine Learning IIT Gandhinagar

July 23, 2025

# 1 Summary from Slides

### 1.1 Baseline Models

Before applying sophisticated feature selection techniques, it's important to establish simple baseline models to compare against:

- Mean Model:  $\hat{y} = \text{mean of training set}$
- Median Model:  $\hat{y} = \text{median of training set}$
- Mode Model:  $\hat{y} = \text{mode of training set}$
- Random Model:  $\hat{y} \sim \text{Uniform}(\min(\text{training set}), \max(\text{training set}))$

These baselines help establish whether more complex feature selection methods provide meaningful improvements.

### 1.2 The Feature Selection Problem

When selecting the best subset of features from d available features, exhaustive enumeration considers all possible feature combinations. Each feature can either be included or excluded, leading to a binary choice table:

$Feature_1$	$Feature_2$		$Feature_d$
True	False		False
False	True		False
True	True		False
÷	•	:	:
True	True		True

This results in  $2^d$  possible feature combinations, making exhaustive enumeration computationally prohibitive for large d.

#### **1.3** Stepwise Forward Selection (SFS)

Forward selection is a greedy algorithm that starts with an empty feature set and iteratively adds the best feature:

#### Algorithm:

- 1. Initialize:  $F = \{\}$  (empty feature set)
- 2. For i = 1 to K (desired number of features):

(a)  $F_i = \operatorname{argmin}_{\text{feature} \notin F} \operatorname{Loss}(F \cup \{\text{feature}\})$ 

(b) 
$$F = F \cup \{F_i\}$$

Where Loss(features) denotes the loss incurred by the model trained with the specified features. **California Housing Example**: The algorithm was applied to the California Housing Dataset to predict median selling price. Results showed:

Iteration	Added Feature	MSE
1	Median Income of block	0.97
2	Avg. number of rooms in the block	0.63
3	Latitude	0.65
4	Longitude	0.66

This example demonstrates that after the first two features, additional features provide minimal improvement or even degrade performance.

# 1.4 Stepwise Backward Selection (SBS)

Backward selection operates in the opposite direction of forward selection:

- Start with all features
- Iteratively remove the feature whose removal causes the least increase in loss
- Continue until desired number of features is reached

### 1.5 Time Complexity Analysis

Both forward and backward selection have  $O(d^2)$  time complexity where d is the number of features. For forward selection, the number of evaluations is:

Total evaluations = 
$$d + (d - 1) + (d - 2) + \dots + 1$$
 (1)

$$=\sum_{i=1}^{d}i$$
(2)

$$=\frac{d(d+1)}{2}\tag{3}$$

$$=O(d^2) \tag{4}$$

This quadratic complexity makes forward and backward selection much more tractable than exhaustive enumeration's  $O(2^d)$  complexity.

# 2 Practice Problems

#### **Exercise 1: Baseline Model Selection**

For a regression dataset with training targets y = [2.1, 3.5, 1.8, 4.2, 2.7, 3.1, 2.9, 3.8, 2.4, 3.6]:

- (a) Calculate the mean model prediction
- (b) Calculate the median model prediction
- (c) If the test set has targets  $y_{test} = [2.8, 3.2, 2.5]$ , compute the MSE for both baseline models
- (d) Which baseline performs better on the test set?

#### **Exercise 2: Exhaustive Search Complexity**

You are working with different sized feature sets. Calculate the number of model evaluations required for exhaustive feature selection:

- (a) Dataset with 5 features
- (b) Dataset with 10 features
- (c) Dataset with 20 features
- (d) If each evaluation takes 2 seconds, how long would exhaustive selection take for each case?
- (e) At what point does exhaustive selection become impractical (assume 1 day = reasonable time limit)?

#### **Exercise 3: Forward Selection Algorithm Trace**

Given a dataset with features  $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$  and their individual performance when used alone:

- Feature  $x_1$ : MSE = 3.2
- Feature  $x_2$ : MSE = 2.1
- Feature  $x_3$ : MSE = 4.5
- Feature  $x_4$ : MSE = 2.8

Second iteration MSE values (adding to best single feature):

- $\{x_2, x_1\}$ : MSE = 1.5
- $\{x_2, x_3\}$ : MSE = 2.0
- $\{x_2, x_4\}$ : MSE = 1.8

Show the complete forward selection trace for 2 iterations, explaining your feature choices at each step.

#### **Exercise 4: Time Complexity Derivation**

Derive the time complexity for backward selection:

- (a) Starting with d features, how many models are evaluated in the first iteration?
- (b) How many models in the second iteration?
- (c) Write the general formula for total evaluations over all iterations
- (d) Show that this leads to  $O(d^2)$  complexity
- (e) Compare the exact number of evaluations between forward and backward selection for d = 6

#### **Exercise 5: California Housing Analysis**

Based on the California Housing example from the slides:

Iteration	Added Feature	MSE
1	Median Income	0.97
2	Avg. rooms	0.63
3	Latitude	0.65
4	Longitude	0.66

- (a) Why did the MSE increase from iteration 2 to 3?
- (b) At which iteration should feature selection stop? Justify your answer
- (c) What does this suggest about the importance of geographic features vs. economic features?
- (d) How would you modify the stopping criterion to prevent overfitting?

#### Exercise 6: Forward vs Backward Selection Comparison

Consider a dataset with 4 features where forward selection gives the order  $x_2 \rightarrow x_1 \rightarrow x_4 \rightarrow x_3$  and backward selection removes features in order  $x_3 \rightarrow x_4 \rightarrow x_1 \rightarrow x_2$ .

- (a) Are these results consistent? Explain why or why not
- (b) Which features appear to be most important according to both methods?
- (c) In what scenarios might forward and backward selection give different rankings?
- (d) Given computational constraints, which method would you choose and why?

#### **Exercise 7: Greedy Algorithm Limitations**

Forward selection is a greedy algorithm that makes locally optimal choices.

- (a) Construct a simple example where forward selection fails to find the globally optimal feature subset
- (b) Explain why this happens in terms of feature interactions
- (c) What are the advantages of using greedy approaches despite this limitation?
- (d) How does the  $O(d^2)$  complexity compare to exhaustive search  $O(2^d)$  for  $d = \{5, 10, 15, 20\}$ ?

#### **Exercise 8: Feature Interaction Effects**

Consider features  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ , and  $x_3$  with the following performance:

- Individual:  $MSE(x_1) = 5.0$ ,  $MSE(x_2) = 4.5$ ,  $MSE(x_3) = 6.0$
- Pairs:  $MSE(x_1, x_2) = 4.2$ ,  $MSE(x_1, x_3) = 3.0$ ,  $MSE(x_2, x_3) = 4.1$
- All three:  $MSE(x_1, x_2, x_3) = 2.8$
- (a) Trace through forward selection step by step
- (b) Which feature combination would exhaustive search find as optimal?
- (c) Does forward selection find the optimal solution in this case?
- (d) What does this reveal about feature interactions?

#### **Exercise 9: Stopping Criteria Design**

Design appropriate stopping criteria for forward selection in different scenarios:

- (a) Scenario 1: Limited computational budget can only evaluate 20 models
- (b) Scenario 2: Performance-based stop when improvement is less than 5%
- (c) Scenario 3: Cross-validation based prevent overfitting on training set
- (d) For each scenario, write the modified algorithm and explain the trade-offs
- (e) Which stopping criterion would be most appropriate for the California Housing example?

#### Exercise 10: Algorithm Implementation

Implement the forward selection algorithm in pseudocode:

- (a) Write detailed pseudocode including input parameters and return values
- (b) Add appropriate error checking and edge cases
- (c) Include provisions for different stopping criteria
- (d) Modify your algorithm to track and return the MSE at each iteration
- (e) How would you parallelize the feature evaluation step?

#### Exercise 11: Computational Scaling Analysis

Analyze how forward selection scales with dataset size: Given:

- Dataset with n samples and d features
- Each model training takes  $O(nd^2)$  time (assuming linear regression)
- Forward selection evaluates  $O(d^2)$  models total
- (a) What is the overall time complexity of forward selection?
- (b) How does this compare to training a single model with all features?
- (c) For n = 10,000 and d = 50, estimate the computational overhead
- (d) At what ratio of n to d does forward selection become impractical?

#### Exercise 12: Real-World Application Design

Design a feature selection strategy for a real-world housing price prediction problem: **Dataset**: 50,000 houses with features including:

- 20 continuous features (area, age, rooms, etc.)
- 15 categorical features (neighborhood, style, etc.)
- 10 derived features (price per sq ft, etc.)
- (a) Would you use forward or backward selection? Justify your choice
- (b) Design an appropriate baseline model for comparison
- (c) What stopping criteria would you implement?
- (d) How would you handle categorical features in your selection process?
- (e) Outline a validation strategy to ensure reliable feature selection

### Exercise 13: Advanced Complexity Analysis

Compare the theoretical and practical complexity of different approaches:

- (a) For d = 25 features, calculate the exact number of model evaluations for:
  - Exhaustive search
  - Forward selection
  - Backward selection
- (b) If you can evaluate 1000 models per hour, how long would each method take?
- (c) At what value of d does forward selection become faster than exhaustive search by a factor of 100?
- (d) Derive the "break-even" point where  $2^d = \frac{d(d+1)}{2}$

#### Exercise 14: Advanced Feature Selection Scenarios

Analyze challenging scenarios for stepwise selection:

**Scenario A**: Highly correlated features where  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  provide similar information **Scenario B**: Features that are only useful in combination (XOR-type relationships) **Scenario C**: Noisy features that occasionally appear useful due to random correlations

- (a) For each scenario, predict how forward selection would behave
- (b) Design synthetic datasets to test these scenarios
- (c) What modifications to the basic algorithm could help handle these cases?
- (d) How would cross-validation help identify and mitigate these issues?