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## Relation between \#instances and \# Variables

If $\mathrm{N}<\mathrm{M}$, then it is an under-determined system
Example: $\mathrm{N}=2$; $\mathrm{M}=3$

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[\begin{array}{l}
30 \\
40
\end{array}\right] } & =\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 6 & 30 \\
1 & 5 & 20
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\theta_{0} \\
\theta_{1} \\
\theta_{2}
\end{array}\right] \\
30 & =\theta_{0}+6 \theta_{1}+30 \theta_{2} \\
40 & =\theta_{0}+5 \theta_{1}+20 \theta_{2}  \tag{1}\\
-10 & =-1 \theta_{1}-10 \theta_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

The above equation can have infinitely many solutions.
Under-determined system: $\epsilon_{i}=0$ for all $i$
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## Relation between \#instances and \# Variables

What if $\mathrm{N}>\mathrm{M}$
Then it is an over determined system. So, the sum of squared residuals $>0$.

## Variable Transformation

Transform the data, by including the higher power terms in the feature space.

| t | s |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 6 |
| 3 | 24 |
| 4 | 36 |

The above table represents the data before transformation
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## Variable Transformation

Add the higher degree features to the previous table

| $t$ | $t^{2}$ | $s$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 6 |
| 3 | 9 | 24 |
| 4 | 16 | 36 |

The above table represents the data after transformation
Now, we can write $\hat{s}=f\left(t, t^{2}\right)$
Other transformations: $\log (x), x_{1} \times x_{2}$
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## A big caveat: Linear in what?! ${ }^{1}$

1. $\hat{s}=\theta_{0}+\theta_{1} * t$ is linear
2. Is $\hat{s}=\theta_{0}+\theta_{1} * t+\theta_{2} * t^{2}$ linear?
3. Is $\hat{s}=\theta_{0}+\theta_{1} * t+\theta_{2} * t^{2}+\theta_{3} * \cos \left(t^{3}\right)$ linear?
4. Is $\hat{s}=\theta_{0}+\theta_{1} * t+e^{\theta_{2}} * t$ linear?
5. All except \#4 are linear models!
6. Linear refers to the relationship between the parameters that you are estimating $(\theta)$ and the outcome
[^5]
## Class Exercise

Solve the linear system below using normal equation method

| $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $y$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 4 |
| 2 | 4 | 6 |
| 3 | 6 | 8 |

## Multi-collinearity

There can be situations where $X^{\top} X$ is not computable.

## Multi-collinearity

There can be situations where $X^{\top} X$ is not computable. This condition arises when the $\left|X^{\top} X\right|=0$.

$$
x=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 2  \tag{2}\\
1 & 2 & 4 \\
1 & 3 & 6
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Multi-collinearity

There can be situations where $X^{\top} X$ is not computable. This condition arises when the $\left|X^{\top} X\right|=0$.

$$
x=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 2  \tag{2}\\
1 & 2 & 4 \\
1 & 3 & 6
\end{array}\right]
$$

The matrix X is not full rank.
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## Multi-collinearity

It arises when one or more predictor varibale/feature in X can be expressed as a linear combinations of others

How to tackle it?

- Regularize
- Drop variables
- Use different subsets of data
- Avoid dummy variable trap

Say Pollution in Delhi $=P$
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## Dummy variables

Say Pollution in Delhi $=P$

$$
\mathrm{P}=\theta_{0}+\theta_{1}{ }^{\star} \# \text { Vehicles }+\theta_{1} * \text { Wind speed }+\theta_{3} * \text { Wind Direction }
$$

But, wind direction is a categorical variable.
It is denoted as follows $\{\mathrm{N}: 0, \mathrm{E}: 1, \mathrm{~W}: 2, \mathrm{~S}: 3\}$

Can we use the direct encoding?
Then this implies that $S>W>E>N$

N-1 Variable encoding

|  | Is it N? | Is it E? | Is it W? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| E | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| W | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| S | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Dummy Variables

N Variable encoding

|  | Is it N? | Is it E? | Is it W? | Is it S? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| E | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| W | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| S | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
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## Dummy Variables

Which is better N variable encoding or $\mathrm{N}-1$ variable encoding? The N - 1 variable encoding is better because the N variable encoding can cause multi-collinearity. Is it $\mathrm{S}=1$ - (Is it $\mathrm{N}+$ Is it $\mathrm{W}+$ Is it E)

| N | 00 |
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## Binary Encoding

| N | 00 |
| :---: | :---: |
| E | 01 |
| W | 10 |
| S | 11 |

W and S are related by one bit.
This introduces dependencies between them, and this can confusion in classifiers.
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## Interpreting Dummy Variables

| Is Female | height |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 |
| 1 | 5.2 |
| 1 | 5.4 |
| 0 | 5.8 |
| 0 | 6 |

height $_{i}=\theta_{0}+\theta_{1}$ * (Is Female $)+\epsilon_{i}$
We get $\theta_{0}=5.8$ and $\theta_{0}=6$
$\theta_{0}=$ Avg height of Male $=5.9$
$\theta_{0}+\theta_{1}$ is chosen based (equal to) on 5, 5.2, 5.4 (for three records).
$\theta_{1}$ is chosen based on 5-5.9, 5.2-5.9, 5.4-5.9 $\theta_{1}=$ Avg. female height $(5+5.2+5.4) / 3-$ Avg. male height(5.9)
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## Alternative parameter estimation

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{y}_{i}=\theta_{0}+\theta_{1} x_{i} \\
\epsilon_{i}=y_{i}-\hat{y}_{i} \\
\sum \epsilon_{i}^{2}=\sum\left(y_{i}-\theta_{0}-\theta_{1} x_{i}\right)^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Now, we compute the derivative of it with all the $\theta_{j}$. Let us solve for x being a scalar.

## Alternative parameter estimation

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{0}} \sum \epsilon_{i}^{2} & =2 \sum\left(y_{i}-\theta_{0}-\theta_{1} x_{i}\right)(-1)=0 \\
0 & =\sum y_{i}-N \theta_{0}-\sum \theta_{1} x_{i}  \tag{3}\\
\theta_{0} & =\frac{\sum y_{i}-\theta_{1} \sum x_{i}}{N}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\theta_{0}=\bar{y}-\theta_{1} \bar{x}
$$

## Alternative parameter estimation

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{1}} \sum \epsilon_{i}^{2}=0 \\
\Longrightarrow 2 \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(y_{i}-\theta_{0}-\theta_{1} x_{i}\right)\left(-x_{i}\right)=0 \\
\Longrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(x_{i} y_{i}-\theta_{0} x_{i}-\theta_{1} x_{i}^{2}\right)=0 \\
\Longrightarrow \sum \theta_{1} x_{i}^{2}=\sum x_{i} y_{i}-\sum \theta_{0} x_{i} \\
\Longrightarrow \sum \theta_{1} x_{i}^{2}=\sum x_{i} y_{i}-\sum\left(\bar{y}-\theta_{1} \bar{x}\right) x_{i}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Alternative parameter estimation

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Longrightarrow \sum \theta_{1} x_{i}^{2}=\sum x_{i} y_{i}-\bar{y} \sum x_{i}+\theta_{1} \bar{x} \sum x_{i} \\
\Longrightarrow \sum x_{i} y_{i}-\sum x_{i} y=\theta_{1}\left(-\bar{x} \sum x_{i}+\sum x_{i}^{2}\right) \\
\theta_{1}=\frac{x_{i} y_{i}-\sum x_{i} y}{\sum x_{i}^{2}-\bar{x} \sum x_{i}}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Alternative parameter estimation

$$
\theta_{1}=\frac{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)}{\frac{1}{N}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}
$$

$$
\theta_{1}=\frac{\operatorname{Cov}(x, y)}{\operatorname{variance}(x)}
$$
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