Sampling Methods

Nipun Batra September 12, 2023

IIT Gandhinagar

Topics

1. Monte Carlo Simulation

General Form

Applications

Bias and Variance of Monte Carlo

2. Sampling from common probability distributions PRNG

Inverse CDF Sampling

Inverse CDF Sampling

Sampling from Normal Distribution

The Discovery That Transformed Pi

Monte Carlo Simulation

$$\mathbb{E}_{x \sim p(x)}[f(x)] = \int f(x)p(x)dx \tag{1}$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{x \sim p(x)}[f(x)] = \int f(x)p(x)dx \tag{1}$$

Using Monte Carlo methods, we can estimate the above expectation by sampling x_i from p(x) and computing the average of $f(x_i)$.

$$\mathbb{E}_{x \sim p(x)}[f(x)] = \int f(x)p(x)dx \tag{1}$$

Using Monte Carlo methods, we can estimate the above expectation by sampling x_i from p(x) and computing the average of $f(x_i)$.

$$\mathbb{E}_{x \sim p(x)}[f(x)] \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} f(x_i)$$
(2)

where $x_i \sim p(x)$.

We can estimate the value of pi using Monte Carlo methods by considering a unit square with a quarter circle inscribed within it. We can estimate the value of pi using Monte Carlo methods by considering a unit square with a quarter circle inscribed within it.

Let p(x) be defined over the unit square using the uniform distribution in two dimensions, i.e., p(x) = U(x) = 1 for x ∈ [0, 1]².

We can estimate the value of pi using Monte Carlo methods by considering a unit square with a quarter circle inscribed within it.

- Let p(x) be defined over the unit square using the uniform distribution in two dimensions, i.e., p(x) = U(x) = 1 for x ∈ [0, 1]².
- Let f(x) be the indicator function defined as follows:

 $f(x) = \begin{cases} \text{Green}(1), & \text{if } x \text{ falls inside the quarter circle,} \\ \text{Red}(0), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Estimating Pi using Monte Carlo (Part 1)

• Or, we can write f(x) to be the following:

$$f(x) = egin{cases} 1, & ext{if } x_1^2 + x_2^2 \leq 1, \ 0, & ext{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

• Or, using the indicator function, we can write f(x) to be the following:

$$f(x) = \mathbb{I}(x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 1)$$



Notebook: mc_sampling_intro.ipynb

Estimating prior predictive distribution

Let p(θ) be the prior distribution of parameter. Say, for example, p(θ_i) = N(0,1) ∀i or p(θ) = N(μ,Σ).

Estimating prior predictive distribution

- Let p(θ) be the prior distribution of parameter. Say, for example, p(θ_i) = N(0,1) ∀i or p(θ) = N(μ,Σ).
- Let $p(y|\theta, x)$ be the likelihood function. Say, for example, $p(y|\theta, x) = \mathcal{N}(x^T\theta, 1).$

Estimating prior predictive distribution

- Let p(θ) be the prior distribution of parameter. Say, for example, p(θ_i) = N(0,1) ∀i or p(θ) = N(μ,Σ).
- Let $p(y|\theta, x)$ be the likelihood function. Say, for example, $p(y|\theta, x) = \mathcal{N}(x^T\theta, 1).$
- Then, the prior predictive distribution is given by:

$$p(y|x) = \int p(y|\theta, x) p(\theta) d\theta$$
(3)

$$p(y|x) \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} p(y|\theta_i, x)$$
(4)

where $\theta_i \sim p(\theta)$.

Notebook: mc-linreg-predictive.ipynb

$$p(y|x,D) = \int p(y|\theta,x)p(\theta|D)d\theta$$
 (5)

$$p(y|x,D) = \int p(y|\theta,x)p(\theta|D)d\theta$$
(5)

$$p(y|x,D) \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} p(y|\theta_i, x)$$
(6)

$$p(y|x,D) = \int p(y|\theta,x)p(\theta|D)d\theta$$
(5)

$$p(y|x,D) \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} p(y|\theta_i, x)$$
(6)

where $\theta_i \sim p(\theta|D)$.

[Ref: MML book 9.3.5]

We consider the following generative process:

$$\boldsymbol{\theta} \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{m}_0, \boldsymbol{S}_0)$$
$$\boldsymbol{y}_n \mid \boldsymbol{x}_n, \boldsymbol{\theta} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_n^\top \boldsymbol{\theta}, \sigma^2\right),$$

 $n=1,\ldots,N.$

[Ref: MML book 9.3.5]

We consider the following generative process:

$$\boldsymbol{\theta} \sim \mathcal{N} \left(\boldsymbol{m}_{0}, \boldsymbol{S}_{0} \right)$$
$$\boldsymbol{y}_{n} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{n}, \boldsymbol{\theta} \sim \mathcal{N} \left(\boldsymbol{x}_{n}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\theta}, \sigma^{2} \right),$$

 $n=1,\ldots,N.$

The marginal likelihood is given by

$$p(\mathcal{Y} \mid \mathcal{X}) = \int p(\mathcal{Y} \mid \mathcal{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) p(\boldsymbol{\theta}) d\boldsymbol{\theta}$$
$$= \int \mathcal{N} \left(\boldsymbol{y} \mid \boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{\theta}, \sigma^2 \boldsymbol{I} \right) \mathcal{N} \left(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \boldsymbol{m}_0, \boldsymbol{S}_0 \right) d\boldsymbol{\theta}$$

[Ref: MML book 9.3.5]

We consider the following generative process:

$$\boldsymbol{\theta} \sim \mathcal{N} \left(\boldsymbol{m}_{0}, \boldsymbol{S}_{0} \right)$$
$$\boldsymbol{y}_{n} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{n}, \boldsymbol{\theta} \sim \mathcal{N} \left(\boldsymbol{x}_{n}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\theta}, \sigma^{2} \right),$$

 $n = 1, \ldots, N.$

The marginal likelihood is given by

$$p(\mathcal{Y} \mid \mathcal{X}) = \int p(\mathcal{Y} \mid \mathcal{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) p(\boldsymbol{\theta}) d\boldsymbol{\theta}$$
$$= \int \mathcal{N} \left(\boldsymbol{y} \mid \boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{\theta}, \sigma^2 \boldsymbol{I} \right) \mathcal{N} \left(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \boldsymbol{m}_0, \boldsymbol{S}_0 \right) d\boldsymbol{\theta}$$

$$= \mathcal{N}\left(\boldsymbol{y} \mid \boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{m}_{0}, \boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{S}_{0}\boldsymbol{X}^{\top} + \sigma^{2}\boldsymbol{I}\right)$$
(7)

Instead if we used Monte Carlo methods, we would have:

Instead if we used Monte Carlo methods, we would have:

$$I = p(\mathcal{Y} \mid \mathcal{X}) \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} p(\mathcal{Y} \mid \mathcal{X}, \theta_i)$$
(8)

where $\theta_i \sim p(\theta)$.

Generally, we work with log probabilities instead:

$$\log I = \log p(\mathcal{Y} \mid \mathcal{X}) \approx \log \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} p(\mathcal{Y} \mid \mathcal{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_i)\right)$$
(9)

The log-sum-exp trick helps us compute this efficiently.

[Ref: https: //gregorygundersen.com/blog/2020/02/09/log-sum-exp/] The log-sum-exp trick is a technique to compute log $\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}e^{a_i}\right)$ more efficiently.

$$\log\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}e^{a_{i}}\right) = \log\left(e^{\max(a_{i})}\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}e^{a_{i}-\max(a_{i})}\right)$$
(10)

[Ref: https: //gregorygundersen.com/blog/2020/02/09/log-sum-exp/] The log-sum-exp trick is a technique to compute log $\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}e^{a_i}\right)$ more efficiently.

$$\log\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}e^{a_{i}}\right) = \log\left(e^{\max(a_{i})}\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}e^{a_{i}-\max(a_{i})}\right)$$
(10)
$$= \max(a_{i}) + \log\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}e^{a_{i}-\max(a_{i})}\right)$$
(11)

Log-Sum-Exp Trick in Linear Regression

Applying the log-sum-exp trick to linear regression:

$$\log I = \log p(\mathcal{Y} \mid \mathcal{X}) \approx \log \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} p(\mathcal{Y} \mid \mathcal{X}, \theta_i)\right)$$
(12)
$$= \log \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} e^{\log p(\mathcal{Y} \mid \mathcal{X}, \theta_i)}\right)$$
(13)

Log-Sum-Exp Trick in Linear Regression

Applying the log-sum-exp trick to linear regression:

$$\log I = \log p(\mathcal{Y} \mid \mathcal{X}) \approx \log \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} p(\mathcal{Y} \mid \mathcal{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_i)\right)$$
(12)

$$= \log\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N} e^{\log p(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X},\theta_i)}\right)$$
(13)

$$= \log\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N} e^{\log p(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X},\theta_i) - \max(\log p(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X},\theta_i))}\right)$$
(14)

Log-Sum-Exp Trick in Linear Regression

Applying the log-sum-exp trick to linear regression:

$$\log I = \log p(\mathcal{Y} \mid \mathcal{X}) \approx \log \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} p(\mathcal{Y} \mid \mathcal{X}, \theta_i)\right)$$
(12)

$$= \log\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N} e^{\log p(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X},\theta_i)}\right)$$
(13)

$$= \log\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N} e^{\log p(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X},\theta_i) - \max(\log p(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X},\theta_i))}\right)$$
(14)

$$= \max(\log p(\mathcal{Y} \mid \mathcal{X}, \theta_i)) + \log\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N} e^{\log p(\mathcal{Y} \mid \mathcal{X}, \theta_i) - \max(\log p(\mathcal{Y} \mid \mathcal{X}, \theta_i))}\right)$$
(15)

14

The log-sum-exp trick allows us to compute log *I* more efficiently by:

- Subtracting the maximum value of log p(Y | X, θ_i) to avoid numerical issues with exponentiation.
- Adding the maximum value back after the sum of exponentials.

This technique helps prevent overflow and underflow issues when dealing with large or small values in the exponentials.

Estimating Marginal Likelihood in Linear Regression

Notebook: mc-linreg-evidence.ipynb

Unbiased Estimator?

Is Monte Carlo Sampling a biased or unbiased estimator? We know:

$$\mathbb{E}_{x \sim p(x)}[f(x)] = \int f(x)p(x)dx = \phi$$
(16)

Let $x_i \in 1, \ldots, N$ be i.i.d samples:

$$\hat{\phi} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} f(x_i)$$
$$\mathbb{E}(\hat{\phi}) = \int \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} f(x_i) p(x_i) dx = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int f(x_i) p(x_i) dx$$
$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}(f(x_i)) = \phi$$

Thus, it is an unbiased estimator!

Sampling converges slowly

The expected square error of the Monte Carlo estimate is given by:

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\hat{\phi} - \mathbb{E}(\hat{\phi})\right)^2 = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^N (f(x_i) - \phi)\right]^2$$



Thus, the expected error drops as $\mathcal{O}(N^{-\frac{1}{2}})$.
Sampling from common probability distributions

• Question: How can you generate samples from the uniform distribution in [0, 1]?

- Question: How can you generate samples from the uniform distribution in [0, 1]?
- Hint: Linear Congruential Generator (LCG) among other PRNGs.

- Question: How can you generate samples from the uniform distribution in [0, 1]?
- Hint: Linear Congruential Generator (LCG) among other PRNGs.

$$x_{n+1} = (ax_n + c) \mod m \tag{17}$$

- where, a, c, m are constants and x_0 is the seed
- x_{n+1} is the next random number between 0 and m-1

- Question: How can you generate samples from the uniform distribution in [0, 1]?
- Hint: Linear Congruential Generator (LCG) among other PRNGs.

$$x_{n+1} = (ax_n + c) \mod m \tag{17}$$

- where, a, c, m are constants and x_0 is the seed
- x_{n+1} is the next random number between 0 and m-1
- $\frac{x_{n+1}}{m}$ is the next random number between 0 and 1

From Wikipedia page on LCG



Notebook: random-uniform.ipynb

• Assume we have $X \sim U(0,1)$

- Assume we have $X \sim U(0,1)$
- Then, $Y = a + (b a)X \sim U(a, b)$

[Inspired by content from Ben Lambert and Phillip Hennig]

• Let us try to generate samples from the exponential distribution.

[Inspired by content from Ben Lambert and Phillip Hennig]

- Let us try to generate samples from the exponential distribution.
- The PDF of the exponential distribution is given by:

[Inspired by content from Ben Lambert and Phillip Hennig]

- Let us try to generate samples from the exponential distribution.
- The PDF of the exponential distribution is given by:
- PDF: $p(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$

[Inspired by content from Ben Lambert and Phillip Hennig]

- Let us try to generate samples from the exponential distribution.
- The PDF of the exponential distribution is given by:

• PDF:
$$p(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$$

• CDF:
$$F(x) = 1 - e^{-\lambda x}$$
. Prove!



23

• PDF:
$$p(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$$

- PDF: $p(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$
- CDF: $F(x) = 1 e^{-\lambda x}$. Prove!

• PDF:
$$p(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$$

• CDF:
$$F(x) = 1 - e^{-\lambda x}$$
. Prove!

We use RV Y instead of X to avoid confusion with the CDF limits of integration.

$$F(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} p(y) dy$$
 (18)

• PDF:
$$p(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$$

• CDF:
$$F(x) = 1 - e^{-\lambda x}$$
. Prove!

We use RV Y instead of X to avoid confusion with the CDF limits of integration.

$$F(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} p(y) dy$$
 (18)

But, we know that p(y) = 0 for y < 0.

• PDF:
$$p(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$$

• CDF:
$$F(x) = 1 - e^{-\lambda x}$$
. Prove!

We use RV Y instead of X to avoid confusion with the CDF limits of integration.

$$F(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} p(y) dy$$
 (18)

But, we know that p(y) = 0 for y < 0.

$$F(x) = \int_0^x p(y) dy \tag{19}$$

• PDF:
$$p(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$$

• CDF:
$$F(x) = 1 - e^{-\lambda x}$$
. Prove!

We use RV Y instead of X to avoid confusion with the CDF limits of integration.

$$F(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} p(y) dy$$
 (18)

But, we know that p(y) = 0 for y < 0.

$$F(x) = \int_0^x p(y) dy \tag{19}$$

$$F(x) = \int_0^x \lambda e^{-\lambda y} dy$$
 (20)

Let
$$z = -\lambda y$$
. Thus, $dz = -\lambda dy$; and $dy = -\frac{1}{\lambda} dz$.

Let $z = -\lambda y$. Thus, $dz = -\lambda dy$; and $dy = -\frac{1}{\lambda} dz$. At y = 0, z = 0. At y = x, $z = -\lambda y$. Thus,

$$F(x) = \int_0^{-\lambda x} \lambda e^z \left(-\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) dz$$
 (21)

Let $z = -\lambda y$. Thus, $dz = -\lambda dy$; and $dy = -\frac{1}{\lambda} dz$. At y = 0, z = 0. At y = x, $z = -\lambda y$.

Thus,

$$F(x) = \int_0^{-\lambda x} \lambda e^z \left(-\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) dz$$
 (21)

$$F(x) = -\int_0^{-\lambda x} e^z dz$$
 (22)

Let $z = -\lambda y$. Thus, $dz = -\lambda dy$; and $dy = -\frac{1}{\lambda} dz$. At y = 0, z = 0. At y = x, $z = -\lambda y$. Thus,

$$F(x) = \int_0^{-\lambda x} \lambda e^z \left(-\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) dz$$
 (21)

$$F(x) = -\int_0^{-\lambda x} e^z dz$$
 (22)

$$F(x) = -[e^{z}]_{0}^{-\lambda x}$$
 (23)

Let $z = -\lambda y$. Thus, $dz = -\lambda dy$; and $dy = -\frac{1}{\lambda} dz$. At y = 0, z = 0. At y = x, $z = -\lambda y$.

$$F(x) = \int_0^{-\lambda x} \lambda e^z \left(-\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) dz$$
 (21)

$$F(x) = -\int_0^{-\lambda x} e^z dz$$
 (22)

$$F(x) = -[e^{z}]_{0}^{-\lambda x}$$
 (23)

$$F(x) = -\left(e^{-\lambda x} - e^{0}\right) \tag{24}$$

Let $z = -\lambda y$. Thus, $dz = -\lambda dy$; and $dy = -\frac{1}{\lambda} dz$. At y = 0, z = 0. At y = x, $z = -\lambda y$. Thus,

 $F(x) = \int_0^{-\lambda x} \lambda e^z \left(-\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) dz$ (21)

$$F(x) = -\int_0^{-\lambda x} e^z dz$$
 (22)

$$F(x) = -[e^{z}]_{0}^{-\lambda x}$$
 (23)

$$F(x) = -\left(e^{-\lambda x} - e^{0}\right) \tag{24}$$

$$F(x) = 1 - e^{-\lambda x} \tag{25}$$

- Let us consider the CDF (F(x)) of the exponential distribution (λ = 1) and try to generate samples from it.
- We generate a random number $u \sim U(0, 1)$.
- We then find the value of x such that F(x) = u.



- Let us consider the CDF (F(x)) of the exponential distribution (λ = 1) and try to generate samples from it.
- We generate a random number $u \sim U(0, 1)$.
- We then find the value of x such that F(x) = u.



- Let us consider the CDF (F(x)) of the exponential distribution (λ = 1) and try to generate samples from it.
- We generate a random number $u \sim U(0, 1)$.
- We then find the value of x such that F(x) = u.



- Let us consider the CDF (F(x)) of the exponential distribution (λ = 1) and try to generate samples from it.
- We generate a random number $u \sim U(0, 1)$.
- We then find the value of x such that F(x) = u.



- Let us consider the CDF (F(x)) of the exponential distribution (λ = 1) and try to generate samples from it.
- We generate a random number $u \sim U(0, 1)$.
- We then find the value of x such that F(x) = u.



- Let us consider the CDF (F(x)) of the exponential distribution (λ = 1) and try to generate samples from it.
- We generate a random number $u \sim U(0, 1)$.
- We then find the value of x such that F(x) = u.



- Let us consider the CDF (F(x)) of the exponential distribution (λ = 1) and try to generate samples from it.
- We generate a random number $u \sim U(0, 1)$.
- We then find the value of x such that F(x) = u.



- Let us consider the CDF (F(x)) of the exponential distribution (λ = 1) and try to generate samples from it.
- We generate a random number $u \sim U(0, 1)$.
- We then find the value of x such that F(x) = u.



- Let us consider the CDF (F(x)) of the exponential distribution (λ = 1) and try to generate samples from it.
- We generate a random number $u \sim U(0, 1)$.
- We then find the value of x such that F(x) = u.



• We generate a random number $u \sim U(0, 1)$.
- We generate a random number $u \sim U(0, 1)$.
- We then find the value of x such that F(x) = u.

- We generate a random number $u \sim U(0, 1)$.
- We then find the value of x such that F(x) = u.
- This is equivalent to finding the inverse of the CDF, i.e., $F^{-1}(u)$.

- We generate a random number $u \sim U(0, 1)$.
- We then find the value of x such that F(x) = u.
- This is equivalent to finding the inverse of the CDF, i.e., $F^{-1}(u)$.
- For the exponential distribution, let us try to find $F^{-1}(u)$.

- We generate a random number $u \sim U(0, 1)$.
- We then find the value of x such that F(x) = u.
- This is equivalent to finding the inverse of the CDF, i.e., $F^{-1}(u)$.
- For the exponential distribution, let us try to find $F^{-1}(u)$.
- $u = 1 e^{-x}$

- We generate a random number $u \sim U(0, 1)$.
- We then find the value of x such that F(x) = u.
- This is equivalent to finding the inverse of the CDF, i.e., $F^{-1}(u)$.
- For the exponential distribution, let us try to find $F^{-1}(u)$.
- $u = 1 e^{-x}$
- $x = -\log(1-u)$

Notebook: inverse-cdf.ipynb

[From Wikipedia page on Inverse Transform Sampling]
https:
//en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Inverse_transform_sampling

• Let $U_1, U_2 \sim U(0, 1)$ be two independent random variables.

- Let $U_1, U_2 \sim U(0, 1)$ be two independent random variables.
- Let $Z_0, Z_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ be two independent random variables.

- Let $U_1, U_2 \sim U(0, 1)$ be two independent random variables.
- Let $Z_0, Z_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ be two independent random variables.
- Then, $R = \sqrt{-2 \log U_1}$ and $\Theta = 2\pi U_2$ are independent random variables.

- Let $U_1, U_2 \sim U(0, 1)$ be two independent random variables.
- Let $Z_0, Z_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ be two independent random variables.
- Then, $R = \sqrt{-2 \log U_1}$ and $\Theta = 2\pi U_2$ are independent random variables.
- Then, $Z_0 = R \cos \Theta$ and $Z_1 = R \sin \Theta$ are independent random variables.

- Let $U_1, U_2 \sim U(0, 1)$ be two independent random variables.
- Let $Z_0, Z_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ be two independent random variables.
- Then, $R = \sqrt{-2 \log U_1}$ and $\Theta = 2\pi U_2$ are independent random variables.
- Then, $Z_0 = R \cos \Theta$ and $Z_1 = R \sin \Theta$ are independent random variables.
- Z_0 and Z_1 are independent and identically distributed (i.i.d) $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ random variables.

Notebook: sampling-normal.ipynb

• Let $Z_0 \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ be independent random variables.

- Let $Z_0 \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ be independent random variables.
- Then, $X = \mu + \sigma Z_0$ is a random variable with $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma)$ distribution.

Drawing values from the distribution in https://en.wikipedia. org/wiki/Multivariate_normal_distribution